arXiv:1501.02396v1 [math.FA] 10 Jan 2015

On the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

1 Introduction.

The truncated operator trigonometric moment problem consists of finding a non-decreasing $[\mathcal{H}]$-valued function $F(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, $F(0) = 0$, which is strongly left-continuous in $(0, 2\pi]$ and such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} dF(t) = S_n, \qquad n = 0, 1, ..., d, \tag{1}$$

where $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^d$ is a prescribed sequence of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ (moments). Here $\mathcal{H}$ is a fixed Hilbert space and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a fixed number. The operator Stieltjes integrals in (1) are understood as limits of the corresponding integral sums in the strong operator topology.
Set $S_{-k} = S_k^*$, $k = 1, 2, ..., d$. The following condition:

$$\sum_{k,l=0}^{d} (S_{l-k} h_l, h_k)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

where $\{h_k\}_0^d$ are arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{H}$, is necessary and sufficient for the solvability of the moment problem (1) (e.g. [1]). The solvable moment problem (1) is said to be *determinate* if it has a unique solution and *indeterminate* in the opposite case. The truncated operator trigonometric moment problem was studied in papers [1], [4] (in slightly different statements). The conditions of the solvability were obtained in [1]. In the case of the strict positivity of the corresponding Toeplitz operator, all solutions to the moment problem were described in [4]. For the history of scalar and matrix truncated trigonometric moment problems we refer to [8], [9].

Our aim here is to describe all solutions to the solvable moment problem (1) without any additional conditions. For this purpose we shall develop the operator approach of Szökefalvi-Nagy and Koranyi in [6], [7]. Also our approach is close to the approach of Krein and Krasnoselskii in [5]. All solutions of the moment problem are described by a Nevanlinna-type parameterization. In the case of moments acting in a separable Hilbert space, the matrices of the operator coefficients in the Nevanlinna-type formula are calculated by the prescribed moments. Conditions for the determinacy of the moment problem are given.

Notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$, the sets of real numbers, complex numbers, positive integers, integers and non-negative integers, respectively; $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| < 1\}$; $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| = 1\}$; $\mathbb{T}_e = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| \neq 1\}$. By $k \in \overline{0,\rho}$ we mean that $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \ 0 \leq k \leq \rho$ if $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if $\rho = +\infty$.

In this paper Hilbert spaces are not necessarily separable, operators in them are supposed to be linear.

If H is a Hilbert space then $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ mean the scalar product and the norm in H, respectively. Indices may be omitted in obvious cases. For a linear operator A in H, we denote by $D(A)$ its domain, by $R(A)$ its range, and A^* means the adjoint operator if it exists. If A is invertible then A^{-1} means its inverse. $\overline{A}$ means the closure of the operator, if the operator is closable. If A is bounded then $\|A\|$ denotes its norm. For a set $M \subseteq H$ we denote by $\overline{M}$ the closure of M in the norm of H. For an arbitrary set of elements $\{x_n\}_{n\in I}$ in H, we denote by $\mathrm{Lin}\{x_n\}_{n\in I}$ the set of all linear combinations of elements x_n, and $\mathrm{span}\{x_n\}_{n\in I} := \overline{\mathrm{Lin}\{x_n\}_{n\in I}}$. Here I is an arbitrary set of indices. By E_H we denote the identity operator in H, i.e. $E_H x = x$, $x \in H$. In obvious cases we may omit the index H. If H_1 is a subspace of H, then $P_{H_1} = P^H_{H_1}$ is an operator of the orthogonal projection on H_1 in H. By $[H]$ we denote a set of all bounded operators on H. For a closed isometric operator V in H we denote: $M_\zeta(V) = (E_H - \zeta V)D(V)$, $N_\zeta(V) = H \ominus M_\zeta(V)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$; $M_\infty(V) = R(V)$, $N_\infty(V) = H \ominus R(V)$.

By $\mathcal{S}(D; N, N')$ we denote a class of all analytic in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ operator-valued functions $F(z)$, which values are linear non-expanding operators mapping the whole N into N', where N and N' are some Hilbert spaces.

2 The solvability and a description of solutions for the moment problem.

Suppose that the moment problem (1) is given and it is solvable. For arbitrary elements $\{h_k\}_0^d$ of $\mathcal{H}$ we may write:

$$\sum_{k,l=0}^{d} (S_{l-k}h_l, h_k)_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(l-k)t} dF(t) h_l, h_k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \sum_{k,l=0}^{d} \left(\lim_{\delta\to+0} \sum_{r=0}^{N} e^{i(l-k)t_r} (F(t_{r+1}) - F(t_r)) h_l, h_k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \lim_{\delta\to+0} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \left((F(t_{r+1}) - F(t_r)) \sum_{l=0}^{d} e^{ilt_r} h_l, \sum_{k=0}^{d} e^{ikt_r} h_k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0.$$

Here δ is the diameter of a partition of $[0, 2\pi]$ and $\{t_r\}_0^N$ are points of this partition. Thus, condition (2) is satisfied.

Conversely, suppose that the moment problem (1) with $d \in N$ is given and condition (2) is satisfied. Like it was done in [7] we consider abstract symbols ε_j, $j = 0, 1, ..., d$, and form a formal sum h:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{d} h_j \varepsilon_j, \tag{3}$$

where $h_j \in \mathcal{H}$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then we set $\alpha h = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (\alpha h_j) \varepsilon_j$. If

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^{d} g_j \varepsilon_j, \tag{4}$$

where $g_j \in \mathcal{H}$, then we set $h + g = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (h_j + g_j) \varepsilon_j$. A set of all formal sums of type (3) becomes a complex linear vector space $\mathfrak{B}$. Let $h, g \in \mathfrak{B}$ have the form as in (3), (4). Let

$$\Phi(h, g) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{d} (S_{j-k} h_j, g_k)_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{5}$$

The functional Φ is sesquilinear and it has the properties $\overline{\Phi(h, g)} = \Phi(g, h)$, $\Phi(h, h) \geq 0$. If $\Phi(h - g, h - g) = 0$, we put elements h and g to the same equivalence class denoted by $[h]$ or $[g]$. A set of all equivalent classes we denote by $\mathfrak{L}$. By the completion of $\mathfrak{L}$ we obtain a Hilbert space H. Set

$$x_{h,j} := [h \varepsilon_j], \qquad h \in \mathcal{H},\ 0 \leq j \leq d. \tag{6}$$

Observe that

$$(x_{h,j}, x_{g,k})_H = (S_{j-k} h, g)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad h, g \in \mathcal{H},\ 0 \leq j, k \leq d. \tag{7}$$

Set

$$D_0 = \mathrm{Lin}\{x_{h,j}\}_{h \in \mathcal{H},\ 0 \leq j \leq d-1} =$$

$$= \{x_{h_0,0} + x_{h_1,1} + ... + x_{h_{d-1},d-1}\}_{h_0, h_1, ..., h_{d-1} \in \mathcal{H}}.$$

Consider a linear operator A_0 with $D(A_0) = D_0$:

$$A_0 \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j,j+1}, \qquad h_j \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{8}$$

Let us check that A_0 is well-defined. Suppose that an element $h \in D_0$ has two representations:

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j}, \qquad h_j, g_j \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j,j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j+1} \right\|_H = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j-g_j,j+1}, \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} x_{h_k-g_k,k+1} \right)_H =$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} (S_{j-k}(h_j - g_j), h_k - g_k)_{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j-g_j,j}, \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} x_{h_k-g_k,k} \right)_H = 0.$$

Thus, A_0 is well-defined. If

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{h_j,j}, \quad f = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} x_{f_k,k}, \qquad h_j, g_k \in \mathcal{H},$$

then

$$(A_0 h, A_0 f)_H = \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} (x_{h_j,j+1}, x_{f_k,k+1})_H = \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} (S_{j-k} h_j, f_k)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} (x_{h_j,j}, x_{f_k,k})_H = (h, g)_H.$$

Therefore A_0 is isometric. Set $A = \overline{A_0}$. By the induction argument it may be checked that

$$x_{h,j} = A^j x_{h,0}, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d.$$

Let $\widetilde{A} \supseteq A$ be a unitary operator in a Hilbert space $\widetilde{H} \supseteq H$, and $\{\widetilde{E}_t\}_{t\in[0,2\pi]}$ be its strongly left-continuous orthogonal resolution of the identity. We may write

$$(S_j h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (x_{h,j}, x_{g,0})_H = (A^j x_{h,0}, x_{g,0})_H = (\widetilde{A}^j x_{h,0}, x_{g,0})_{\widetilde{H}} =$$

$$= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{itj} d\widetilde{E}_t x_{h,0}, x_{g,0} \right)_{\widetilde{H}}, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d,\ h,g \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

Consider the following operator I: $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow H$:

$$Ih = x_{h,0}, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{10}$$

It is readily checked that I is linear. Moreover, since

$$\|Ih\|_H^2 = (x_{h,0}, x_{h,0})_H = (S_0 h, h)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|S_0\| \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

then I is bounded. By (9) we may write

$$(S_j h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = \left(P_H^{\widetilde{H}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{itj} d\widetilde{E}_t Ih, Ig \right)_H = \left(I^* P_H^{\widetilde{H}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{itj} d\widetilde{E}_t Ih, g \right)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{itj} d\left(I^* P_H^{\widetilde{H}} \widetilde{E}_t I \right) h, g \right)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d,\ h,g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore

$$S_j = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{itj} d\left(I^* \mathbf{E}_t I \right), \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_t$ is a strongly left-continuous spectral function of A (corresponding to $\widetilde{A}$). Thus,

$$F(t) = I^* \mathbf{E}_t I, \qquad t \in [0, 2\pi], \tag{12}$$

is a solution of the moment problem (1). We conclude that each strongly left-continuous spectral function of A generates a solution of the moment problem (1) by relation (12).

Let $\widehat{F}(t)$ be an arbitrary solution of the moment problem (1). We shall check that $\widehat{F}(t)$ can be constructed by relation (12). By $C_{00}(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi])$ we denote a set of all strongly continuous $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions $f(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, which take their values in finite-dimentional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ (depending on f). For arbitrary $f, g \in C_{00}(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi])$ we set (see [2])

$$\Psi(f,g) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(d\widehat{F}(t) f(t), g(t) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\widehat{F}(\Delta_k) f(t_k), g(t_k) \right)_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{13}$$

where δ is the diameter of a partition $\{\Delta_k\}_{k=1}^N$, $\Delta_k = [a_k, b_k)$ of $[0, 2\pi)$ and $t_k \in \Delta_k$. Here, as usual, the limit does not depend on the choice of partitions and points t_k. It is easy to see that in the case of $f, g \in C_{00}(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi])$ the limit in (13) exists and reduces to a finite sum of scalar Stieltjes-type integrals.

Introducing classes of the equivalence with respect to Ψ and by the completion we obtain a Hilbert space $L_2 = L_2(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$. Consider two operator polynomials of the following form:

$$p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} e^{ijt} h_j, \quad q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} e^{ikt} g_k, \qquad h_j, g_k \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{14}$$

Since $p, q \in C_{00}(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi])$ then the corresponding classes $[p], [q]$ belong to $L_2(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$. As usual in such situations, we shall say that p, q belong to $L_2(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$. Then

$$(p, q)_{L_2(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(d\widehat{F}(t) p(t), q(t) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=0}^{d} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(j-k)t} d\left(\widehat{F}(t) h_j, g_k \right)_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j,k=0}^{d} (S_{j-k} h_j, g_k)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \sum_{j,k=0}^{d} (x_{h_j,j}, x_{g_k,k})_H = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j,j}, \sum_{k=0}^{d} x_{g_k,k} \right)_H. \tag{15}$$

Denote by $P(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$ a set of all (classes of the equivalence which contain) polynomials of type (14) from $L_2(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$. Set $L_{2;0}(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t)) = \overline{P(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))}$. Consider the following transformation:

$$W_0 \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d} e^{ijt} h_j \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j,j}, \qquad h_j \in \mathcal{H},$$

which maps $P(\mathcal{H}; [0, 2\pi]; d\widehat{F}(t))$ on the whole $\mathfrak{L} (\subseteq H)$. Let us check that W_0 is well-defined. In fact, suppose that p, q from (14) belong to the same class of the equivalence. Then

$$0 = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{d} e^{ijt} (h_j - g_j) \right\|_{L_2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d} e^{ijt} (h_j - g_j), \sum_{k=0}^{d} e^{ikt} (h_k - g_k) \right)_{L_2} =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j - g_j, j}, \sum_{k=0}^{d} x_{h_k - g_k, k} \right)_H = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j,j} - \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{g_j,j} \right\|_H^2.$$

Therefore W_0 is well-defined. Moreover, W_0 is linear and relation (15) shows that W_0 is isometric. By the continuity we extend W_0 to a unitary transformation W which maps $L_{2;0}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))$ on the whole H. Denote by U_0 an operator in $L_2(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))$ which put into correspondence for a class of the equivalence which has a representative $f(t)\in C_{00}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi])$ the class $[e^{it}f(t)]$. It is readily checked that this operator is well-defined, linear and isometric. We extend it by the continuity to a unitary operator U on $L_2(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))$. Observe that

$$A_0 x_{h,j} = x_{h,j+1} = W\left[e^{i(j+1)t}h\right] = WU\left[e^{ijt}h\right] = WUW^{-1}x_{h,j},$$

for arbitrary $h\in\mathcal{H}$, $0\leq j\leq d-1$. Therefore

$$WUW^{-1}\supseteq A. \tag{16}$$

Set

$$L_{2;1}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t)) = L_2(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t)) \ominus L_{2;0}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t)),$$

and $\mathbf{W} = W\oplus E_{L_{2;1}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))}$. Notice that $\mathbf{W}$ is a unitary transformation which maps $L_2(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))$ on $H_1 := H\oplus L_{2;1}(\mathcal{H};[0,2\pi];d\widehat{F}(t))$. Set $\widetilde{A} := \mathbf{W}U\mathbf{W}^{-1}$. By (16) we see that $\widetilde{A}$ is a unitary extension of A. Let $\{\widetilde{E}_t\}_{t\in[0,2\pi]}$ be a strongly left-continuous resolution of the identity of $\widetilde{A}$. Denote by $\mathbf{E}_t$ ($t\in[0,2\pi]$) the corresponding strongly left-continuous spectral function of A. For arbitrary $h,g\in\mathcal{H}$, $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}_e$ we may write

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}d(I^*\mathbf{E}_tIh,g)_H = \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}d(\widetilde{E}_t x_{h,0},x_{g,0})_{H_1} =$$

$$= \left(\left(E_{H_1}-\zeta\widetilde{A}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{W}[h],\mathbf{W}[g]\right)_{H_1} = \left(\mathbf{W}^{-1}\left(E_{H_1}-\zeta\widetilde{A}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{W}[h],[g]\right)_{L_2} =$$

$$= \left((E_{L_2}-\zeta U)^{-1}[h],[g]\right)_{L_2} = \int_0^{2\pi}\left(d\widehat{F}(t)\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}h,g\right)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}d(\widehat{F}(t)h,g)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}}d(I^*\mathbf{E}_tIh,g)_H = 2\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}d(I^*\mathbf{E}_tIh,g)_H -$$

$$-\int_0^{2\pi} d(I^*\mathbf{E}_t Ih, g)_H = 2\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(I^*\mathbf{E}_t Ih, g)_H - (S_0 h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= 2\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(\widehat{F}(t)h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\widehat{F}(2\pi)h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(\widehat{F}(t)h, g)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

By the well-known inversion formula we conclude that $\widehat{F}(t) = I^*\mathbf{E}_t I$.

Theorem 1 *Let the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem (1) with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and condition (2) hold. Let an operator A_0 in a Hilbert space H be constructed as in (8), $A = \overline{A_0}$. All solutions of the moment problem have the following form:*

$$F(t) = I^*\mathbf{E}_t I, \qquad t \in [0, 2\pi], \tag{17}$$

where I is defined by (10) and $\mathbf{E}_t$ is a strongly left-continuous spectral function of A. On the other hand, each strongly left-continuous spectral function of A generates by (17) a solution of the moment problem. Moreover, the correspondence between all strongly left-continuous spectral functions of A and all solutions of the moment problem (1) is one-to-one.

Proof. It remains to check that different left-continuous spectral functions of A generate different solutions of the moment problem (1). Set $L_0 := \{x_{h,0}\}_{h\in\mathcal{H}}$. Choose an arbitrary element $x \in \mathfrak{L}$, $x = \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j,j}$, $h_j \in \mathcal{H}$. For arbitrary $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e\backslash\{0\}$ there exists the following representation:

$$x = v + y, \qquad v \in M_\zeta(A),\ y \in L_0. \tag{18}$$

Here v and y may depend on the choice of ζ. In fact, for an arbitrary element $u \in D(A_0)$, $u = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j}$, $g_j \in \mathcal{H}$, we may write

$$(E_H - \zeta A)u = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j} - \zeta\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} x_{g_j,j} - \zeta\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{g_{j-1},j} =$$

$$= x_{g_0,0} + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} x_{g_j-\zeta g_{j-1},j} + x_{-\zeta g_{d-1},d}. \tag{19}$$

Consider the following system of equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_j - \zeta g_{j-1} = h_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d-1 \\ \quad -\zeta g_{d-1} = h_d \end{array} \right. . \tag{20}$$

We can find g_{d-1}, then g_{d-2}, ..., g_0. Consider u with this choice of g_j and set $v := (E_H - \zeta A)u \in M_\zeta(A)$. By (19),(20) we see that

$$x - v = x_{h_0,0} - x_{g_0,0} = x_{h_0-g_0,0} =: y \in L_0.$$

Then relation (18) holds.

Suppose to the contrary that two different strongly left-continuous spectral functions $\mathbf{E}_{j,t}$, $j = 1, 2$, generate the same solution of the moment problem: $I^* \mathbf{E}_{1,t} I = I^* \mathbf{E}_{2,t} I$. For arbitrary $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have:

$$(\mathbf{E}_{1,t} x_{f,0}, x_{g,0})_H = (\mathbf{E}_{2,t} x_{f,0}, x_{g,0})_H.$$

Multiplying by $\frac{1}{1-\zeta e^{it}}$ and integrating we get

$$(\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} x_{f,0}, x_{g,0})_H = (\mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} x_{f,0}, x_{g,0})_H, \qquad f, g \in \mathcal{H},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e, \tag{21}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{j,\zeta}$ is a generalized resolvent corresponding to $\mathbf{E}_{j,t}$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mathbf{R}_{j,\zeta}$ is generated by a unitary extension $\widetilde{A}_j$ of A in a Hilbert space $\widetilde{H}_j \supseteq H$, $j = 1, 2$. Since for arbitrary $f \in D(A), \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e$ and $j = 1, 2$ we have

$$\left(E_{\widetilde{H}_j} - \zeta \widetilde{A}_j \right)^{-1} (E_H - \zeta A) f = \left(E_{\widetilde{H}_j} - \zeta \widetilde{A}_j \right)^{-1} \left(E_{\widetilde{H}_j} - \zeta \widetilde{A}_j \right) f = f \in H,$$

then

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} u = \mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} u, \qquad u \in M_\zeta(A),\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e. \tag{22}$$

Choose an arbitrary $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}$. We may write:

$$(\mathbf{R}_{j,\zeta} x_{f,0}, u) = (x_{f,0}, \mathbf{R}^*_{j,\zeta} u) = \left(x_{f,0}, u - \mathbf{R}_{j,\frac{1}{\overline{\zeta}}} u \right),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $u \in M_{\frac{1}{\overline{\zeta}}}(A)$, $j = 1, 2$. By (22) we get

$$(\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} x_{f,0}, u) = (\mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} x_{f,0}, u), \qquad u \in M_{\frac{1}{\overline{\zeta}}}(A),\ f \in \mathcal{H},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}. \tag{23}$$

Choose an arbitrary element $w \in \mathfrak{L}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}$. By (18) we may write: $w = v + y$, where $v \in M_{\frac{1}{\overline{\zeta}}}(A)$, $y \in L_0$. By (21),(23) we get $(\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} x_{f,0}, w) = (\mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} x_{f,0}, w)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} x = \mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} x, \qquad x \in L_0,\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}. \tag{24}$$

For an arbitrary $w \in \mathfrak{L}$ using (18) we may write: $w = v' + y'$, where $v' \in M_\zeta(A)$, $y' \in L_0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}$. By (22),(24) we get $\mathbf{R}_{1,\zeta} w = \mathbf{R}_{2,\zeta} w$,

$\zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e \backslash \{0\}$. Therefore $\mathbf{E}_{1,t} = \mathbf{E}_{2,t}$. This contradiction completes the proof. $\square$

Notice that relation (17) is equivalent to the following relation:

$$(F(t)g,h)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathbf{E}_t x_{g,0}, x_{h,0}), \qquad g,h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{25}$$

From the latter relation it follows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(F(t)g,h)_{\mathcal{H}} = 2(\mathbf{R}_\zeta x_{g,0}, x_{h,0}) - (S_0 g,h)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad g,h \in \mathcal{H},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_e. \tag{26}$$

By virtue of Chumakin's formula for the generalized resolvents of an isometric operator (see [3]) we conclude that the following formula:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(F(t)g,h)_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\left(\left[E_H - \zeta(A \oplus \Phi_\zeta)\right]^{-1} x_{g,0}, x_{h,0} \right)_H - \\ - (S_0 g,h)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad g,h \in \mathcal{H},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{27}$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all functions $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{D}; H \ominus D(A), H \ominus R(A))$ and all solutions of the moment problem (1).

We shall need the following proposition which is close to Frobenius's inversion formula for matrices.

Proposition 1 *Let M be a linear bounded operator in a Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}$, $D(M) = \mathbf{H}$. Suppose that*

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_1 \oplus \mathbf{H}_2, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_1$, $\mathbf{H}_2$ are subspaces of $\mathbf{H}$, and the operator M has the following block representation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = P_{\mathbf{H}_1} M|_{\mathbf{H}_1}$, $\mathbf{B} = P_{\mathbf{H}_1} M|_{\mathbf{H}_2}$, $\mathbf{C} = P_{\mathbf{H}_2} M|_{\mathbf{H}_1}$, $\mathbf{D} = P_{\mathbf{H}_2} M|_{\mathbf{H}_2}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}_1$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) If the operator $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}_2$, then M has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}$, and M^{-1} has the following block representation with respect to decomposition (28):

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathcal{H}^{-1} \\ -\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

(ii) If the operator M has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}$, then the operator $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}_2$, and M^{-1} has the block representation (30) with respect to decomposition (28).

Proof. *(i)*: in this case the operator which is defined by the block representation in (30), is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}$ and it is bounded. The fact that it is the inverse of M is verified by a direct block multiplication. *(ii)*: let us check that $\mathcal{H}$ is invertible. To the contrary, suppose that there exists a non-zero element h in $\mathbf{H}_2$: $\mathcal{H}h = 0$. Denote $u = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}h$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ h \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{31}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\mathbf{H}_1} & 0 \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & E_{\mathbf{H}_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the operator $\begin{pmatrix} E_{\mathbf{H}_1} & 0 \\ -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & E_{\mathbf{H}_2} \end{pmatrix}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}$, equal to $\begin{pmatrix} E_{\mathbf{H}_1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & E_{\mathbf{H}_2} \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore an operator $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}$. This contradicts to relation (31). Thus, the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is invertible. Since $R\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}$, then for an arbitrary element $\widetilde{h} \in \mathbf{H}_2$ there exist elements $u_1 \in \mathbf{H}_1$ $h_2 \in \mathbf{H}_2$, such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \widetilde{h} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}u_1 + \mathbf{B}h_2 \\ \mathcal{H}h_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $R(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbf{H}_2$. Since an operator $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is closed and defined on the whole $\mathbf{H}_2$, then $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is bounded. Applying assertion *(i)* we conclude that M^{-1} has a block representation (30) with respect to decomposition (28). $\square$

Return to our constructions for the solvable moment problem (1) with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us apply Proposition 1 to the operator $M := E_H - \zeta(A \oplus \Phi_\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{D}; H \ominus D(A), H \ominus R(A))$, in a Hilbert space $\mathbf{H} = H$ with $\mathbf{H_1} = D(A)$, $\mathbf{H_2} = H \ominus D(A)$. In this case the operators $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{D}$ in (29) have the following form:

$$\mathbf{A} = E_{D(A)} - \zeta P^H_{D(A)} A, \quad \mathbf{B} = -\zeta P^H_{D(A)} \Phi_\zeta,$$

$$\mathbf{C} = -\zeta P^H_{H\ominus D(A)} A, \quad \mathbf{D} = E_{H\ominus D(A)} - \zeta P^H_{H\ominus D(A)} \Phi_\zeta, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{32}$$

By (27) we conclude that the following relation

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(F(t)g,h)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= 2\left(\left\{ \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}^{-1} \right\} x_{g,0}, x_{h,0} \right)_H - (S_0 g, h)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad g,h\in\mathcal{H},\ \zeta\in\mathbb{D}, \tag{33}$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all functions $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{D}; H\ominus D(A), H\ominus R(A))$ and all solutions of the moment problem (1). By the substitution of expressions from (32) we obtain that relation (33) takes the following form:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} d(F(t)g,h)_{\mathcal{H}} =$$

$$= 2\left(\left\{ \mathbf{A}(\zeta) + \mathbf{B}(\zeta)\Phi_\zeta \left(E_{H\ominus D(A)} + \mathbf{C}(\zeta)\Phi_\zeta \right)^{-1} \mathbf{D}(\zeta) \right\} x_{g,0}, x_{h,0} \right)_H -$$

$$- (S_0 g, h)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad g,h\in\mathcal{H},\ \zeta\in\mathbb{D}, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}(\zeta) = \left(E_{D(A)} - \zeta P^H_{D(A)} A \right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{B}(\zeta) = -\zeta \mathbf{A}(\zeta) P^H_{D(A)},$$

$$\mathbf{D}(\zeta) = -\zeta P^H_{H\ominus D(A)} A \mathbf{A}(\zeta),$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\zeta) = -\zeta P^H_{H\ominus D(A)} + \zeta \mathbf{D}(\zeta) P^H_{D(A)}, \qquad \zeta\in\mathbb{D}. \tag{35}$$

Finally, we obtain that the following relation

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+\zeta e^{it}}{1-\zeta e^{it}} dF(t) =$$

$$= \mathcal{A}(\zeta) + \mathcal{B}(\zeta)\Phi_\zeta \left(E_{H\ominus D(A)} + \mathcal{C}(\zeta)\Phi_\zeta \right)^{-1} \mathcal{D}(\zeta), \qquad \zeta\in\mathbb{D}, \tag{36}$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all functions $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{D}; H\ominus D(A), H\ominus R(A))$ and all solutions of the moment problem (1). Here

$$\mathcal{A}(\zeta) = 2I^* \mathbf{A}(\zeta) I - S_0, \quad \mathcal{B}(\zeta) = 2I^* \mathbf{B}(\zeta)|_{H\ominus R(A)},$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\zeta) = \mathbf{C}(\zeta)|_{H\ominus R(A)}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\zeta) = \mathbf{D}(\zeta) I, \qquad \zeta\in\mathbb{D}. \tag{37}$$

Theorem 2 *Let the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem (1) with* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *be given and condition (2) hold. Let an operator* A_0 *in a Hilbert space* H *be constructed as in (8),* $A = \overline{A_0}$*. Relation (36) establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all functions* $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{D}; H \ominus D(A), H \ominus R(A))$ *and all solutions of the moment problem (1).*

Proof. The proof follows from the preceding considerations. $\Box$

Corollary 1 *Let the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem (1) with* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *be given and condition (2) hold. Let an operator* A_0 *in a Hilbert space* H *be constructed as in (8),* $A = \overline{A_0}$*. The moment problem is determinate if and only if (at least) one of the defect numbers of* A *is zero.*

Proof. If one of the defect numbers of A is zero, then the only function in $S(\mathbb{D}; H \ominus D(A), H \ominus R(A))$ is zero.

On the other hand, if both defect numbers of A are non-zero than we may choose unit nonzero vectors $h \in H \ominus D(A)$, $g \in H \ominus R(A)$. Set $\Phi_1(\zeta) = 0$, $\Phi_2(\zeta) = (\cdot, h)g$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Functions Φ_1, Φ_2 generate different solutions of the moment problem. $\Box$

Let the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem (1) with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Suppose that condition (2) holds and *the Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$ *is separable,* $\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C} = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^{\omega-1}$, $1 \leq \omega \leq +\infty$, is an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Let us calculate the matrices of operators $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ in (37) with respect to some proper bases. As a consequence, we can obtain the matrix of the operator appearing on the right of (36) with respect to $\mathfrak{C}$ using the prescribed moments.

Observe that H is separable. In fact, an arbitrary element x of $\mathfrak{L}$ has the following form: $x = \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{h_j,j}$, $h_j \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a dense subset of $\mathcal{H}$ (which is not supposed to be countable). Choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist elements $y_j \in \mathcal{W}$, $0 \leq j \leq d$, such that

$$\|h_j - y_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(d+1)\|S_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d.$$

Then

$$\left\| x - \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{y_j,j} \right\|_H \leq \sum_{j=0}^{d} \|x_{h_j,j} - x_{y_j,j}\|_H =$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{d} \sqrt{(x_{h_j-y_j,j}, x_{h_j-y_j,j})} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \sqrt{(S_0(h_j - y_j), h_j - y_j)_{\mathcal{H}}} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{d} \|S_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h_j - y_j\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore a set $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} := \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_{y_j,j}, \quad y_j \in \mathcal{W} \right\}$ is dense in H. If $\mathcal{W}$ is chosen countable then $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is countable, as well. Thus, H is separable. On the other hand, if we choose $\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{Lin}\,\mathfrak{C}$ then we obtain that $\mathrm{Lin}\{x_{f_k,j}\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1},\ 0\leq j\leq d}$ is dense in H.

At first we suppose that *the moment problem is indeterminate*. If we would have $D(A) = \{0\}$, then $(S_0 h, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (x_{h,0}, x_{g,0})_H = 0$, $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$. This would meant that $S_0 = 0$ and the moment problem is determinate. This contradicts to our assumptions. Therefore $D(A) \neq \{0\}$. Observe that

$$D(A) = \mathrm{span}\{x_{f_k,j}\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1},\ 0\leq j\leq d-1}. \tag{38}$$

Let us numerate the elements of the set $\Omega := \{x_{f_k,j}\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1},\ 0\leq j\leq d-1}$ by a unique index: $\Omega := \{y_n\}_{n\in\overline{0,\kappa-1}}$, $0 \leq \kappa \leq +\infty$. Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure to the following sequence:

$$\{y_n\}_{n\in\overline{0,\kappa-1}}, \tag{39}$$

removing linear dependent elements, if they appear. After the orthogonalization we shall obtain an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\tau-1}$, $1 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ in $D(A)$. Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure to the following sequence:

$$\left\{ x_{f_k,d} - P^H_{D(A)} x_{f_k,d} \right\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1}}, \tag{40}$$

removing linear dependent elements, if they appear. Observe that the elements $P^H_{D(A)} x_{f_k,d}$ in (40) can be constructed using $\mathfrak{A}_1$. After this orthogonalization we shall obtain an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{A}_2 := \{u'_j\}_{j=0}^{\delta-1}$, $1 \leq \delta \leq +\infty$ in $H \ominus D(A)$.

Observe that $\mathfrak{A}'_1 := \{A_0 u_k\}_{k=0}^{\tau-1}$ is an orthonormal basis in $R(A)$. Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure to the following sequence:

$$\left\{ x_{f_k,0} - P^H_{R(A)} x_{f_k,0} \right\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1}}, \tag{41}$$

removing linear dependent elements, if they appear. Observe that the elements $P^H_{R(A)} x_{f_k,0}$ in (40) can be constructed using $\mathfrak{A}'_1$. By the orthogonalization we shall obtain $\mathfrak{A}'_2 := \{v'_k\}_{k=0}^{\rho-1}$, $1 \leq \rho \leq +\infty$. Notice that $\mathfrak{A}'_2$ is an orthonormal basis in $H \ominus R(A)$.

The above orthonormal bases can be used to construct the matrices of operators on the right in (36). Here relation (7) will be used intensively.

In the case of *the determinate moment problem* the right-hand side of (36) is equal to $\mathcal{A}(\zeta)$. Thus, in this case we can use $\mathfrak{C}$ and an orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{A}$, constructed by the orthogonalization of $\{x_{f_k,j}\}_{k\in\overline{0,\omega-1},\ 0\leq j\leq d}$.

References

[1] Ando T., Truncated moment problems for operators // Acta Scientarum Math., (Szeged). – 1970. – **31**, no. 4. – P. 319–334.

[2] Berezanskii Ju.M., Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968. (Russian edition: Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965).

[3] Chumakin M.E., Generalized resolvents of isometric operators. (Russian) // Sib. Mat. Zh. – 1967. – **8**, no. 4. – P. 876-892.

[4] Ilmushkin, G.M., Turitsyn, A.B., A truncated operator trigonometric moment problem. (Russian) // Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. – 1982, no. 7. – P. 17–21.

[5] Krein, M.G., Krasnoselskii, M.A., Fundamental theorems on the extension of Hermitian operators and certain of their applications to the theory of orthogonal polynomials and the problem of moments. (Russian) // Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N. S.). – 1947. – **2**, no. 3(19). – P. 60–106.

[6] Szökefalvi-Nagy B., Koranyi A., Relations d'un probléme de Nevanlinna et Pick avec la théorie de l'espace hilbertien // Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. – 1957. – **7**. – P. 295–302.

[7] Szökefalvi-Nagy B., Koranyi A., Operatortheoretische Behandlung und Verallgemeinerung eines Problemkreises in der komplexen Funktionentheorie // Acta Math. – 1958. – **100**. – P. 171–202.

[8] Zagorodnyuk S. M., The truncated matrix trigonometric moment problem: the operator approach // Ukrainian Math. J. – 2011. – **63**, no. 6. – P. 786–797.

[9] Zagorodnyuk S. M., Nevanlinna formula for the truncated matrix trigonometric moment problem // Ukrainian Math. J. – 2013. – **64**, no. 8. – P. 1199–1214.

On the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

In this paper we study the truncated operator trigonometric moment problem. All solutions of the moment problem are described by a Nevanlinna-type parameterization. In the case of moments acting in a separable Hilbert space, the matrices of the operator coefficients in the Nevanlinna-type formula are calculated by the prescribed moments. Conditions for the determinacy of the moment problem are given, as well.